

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/082,243	02/26/2002	Naoya Ichikawa	2809-0124P	3483	
2292	7590 07/29/2004	90 07/29/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			MULCAHY, PETER D		
FALLS CHU	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	*			
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Comme	10/082	2,243	ICHIKAWA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
			D. Mulcahy	1713	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this comm or Reply	unication appears on	the cover sheet v	with the correspondence addre)SS
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the in estatutory period will apply an esply will, by statute, cause the ins after the mailling date of this	event, however, may a statutory minimum of th d will expire SIX (6) MO	ireply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm	unication.
Status	,,	,			
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <i>25 May 2004</i>			
	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is		•	
	Since this application is in condition			tters, prosecution as to the ma	erits is
	closed in accordance with the pra	ctice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	JIII 13
Dispositi	on of Claims	·		,	
		a in the englication			
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-12</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/		oncidoration		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	are withtrawn from (onsideration.		
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) <u>rand 5-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or alaction	roquiromont		
ŕ		nedon and/or election	requirement.		
	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by				
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a)∐ accepted or	b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any ob			` ,	
, =	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is requ	ired if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. I	Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•	•		
	 Certified copies of the priorit 	y documents have be	en received.		
;	2. Certified copies of the priorit			application No	
;	 Copies of the certified copies 	s of the priority docun	nents have been	received in this National Stad	ae
	application from the Internat	ional Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).		-
* \$	ee the attached detailed Office acti			received.	
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		Λ. C		
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LII Interview S Paper Note	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
) 🔲 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 c		5) U Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152))
•	No(s)/Mail Date		6)		
Patent and Tra OL-326 (Re		Office Action Summ	ary	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 20	

Art Unit 1713

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,239,253.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the specific combination of surfactants called for in the instant claimed invention is rendered prima facie obvious from the process as claimed in the patent which specifically directs one of ordinary skill in the art to select surfactant from the group can be the same as those instantly claimed. The utilization of two of these surfactants claimed is rendered prima facie obvious because the surfactants are listed in the alternative and it is prima facie obvious to select and combine ingredients and have them function

Serial No. 10/082,243

Art Unit 1713

in an expected manner. The claims of the patent are in no way limited to only the incorporation of a single surfactant. Furthermore column 6 line 8 specifically references "a combination of specific surfactants." This language is sufficient so as to render the instantly claimed combination of surfactants prima facie obvious. .

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Tanaka et al., U.S. Patent 6,239,253 or 5,910,567 or 5,610,212 each taken alone and in the alternative.

The rejection as set forth under 35 U.S.C. § 103 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.

Once again applicants argue that the prior art does not teach the utilization of a combination of surfactants as instantly claimed. This is not persuasive.

Serial No. 10/082,243

Art Unit 1713

As pointed out, the '253 patent at column 6 line 8 specifically calls for a combination of surfactants. Furthermore the instantly claimed preferred surfactants possessing the properties are listed in columns 7 and 8. One of ordinary skill in the art is directed and encouraged to select a combination of the surfactants. As such, it would be prima facie obvious to select the surfactants as claimed. The '567 patent is also seen to direct one of ordinary skill in the art to select a combination of surfactants. See specifically column 4 lines 13+. As such, applicants' remarks are not persuasive.

The '212 patent lists all of the surfactants which are suitable and in no way limits the invention to a single surfactant. Furthermore combinations of surfactants are suggested at column 4 line 58.

This application contains claims 10-12 drawn to an invention non-elected with traverse in Paper No. 110303. A complete response to the final rejection must include cancellation of non-elected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) MPEP § 821.01.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first

Art Unit 1713

response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached during regular business hours.

The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P. Mulcahy:cdc July 27, 2004

> PETER D. MULCAHY PRIMARY EXAMINER